Regence

Medical Policy Manual   Genetic Testing, Policy No. 74

Fetal RHD Genotyping Using Maternal Plasma

Effective: January 1, 2024

Next Review: June 2024
Last Review: December 2023

IMPORTANT REMINDER

Medical Policies are developed to provide guidance for members and providers regarding coverage in accordance with contract terms. Benefit determinations are based in all cases on the applicable contract language. To the extent there may be any conflict between the Medical Policy and contract language, the contract language takes precedence.

PLEASE NOTE: Contracts exclude from coverage, among other things, services or procedures that are considered investigational or cosmetic. Providers may bill members for services or procedures that are considered investigational or cosmetic. Providers are encouraged to inform members before rendering such services that the members are likely to be financially responsible for the cost of these services.

DESCRIPTION

The use of cell-free fetal DNA in maternal blood has been proposed as a noninvasive method to determine fetal RHD genotype.

MEDICAL POLICY CRITERIA

Fetal RHD genotyping using maternal plasma is considered **investigational**.

NOTE: A summary of the supporting rationale for the policy criteria is at the end of the policy.

CROSS REFERENCES

1. [Noninvasive Prenatal Testing to Determine Fetal Aneuploidies and Microdeletions using Cell-Free DNA](#), Genetic Testing, Policy No 44

BACKGROUND

Rhesus (Rh) D-negative women who are exposed to RHD-positive red blood cells can develop anti-Rh antibodies, which can cross the placenta and cause fetal anemia. If undiagnosed and untreated, alloimmunization can cause significant perinatal morbidity and mortality. Determining the Rh status of the fetus may guide subsequent management of the pregnancy.

The use of cell-free fetal DNA in maternal blood has been proposed as a noninvasive method to determine fetal *RHD* genotype.

Alloimmunization refers to the development of antibodies in a patient whose blood type is Rh-negative and who is exposed to Rh-positive red blood cells (RBCs). This most commonly occurs from fetal-placental hemorrhage and entry of fetal blood cells into the maternal circulation. The management of a Rh-negative pregnant patient who is not alloimmunized and is carrying a known Rh-positive fetus or the fetal Rh status is unknown, involves administration of Rh immune globulin at standardized times during the pregnancy to prevent the formation of anti-Rh antibodies. If the patient is already alloimmunized, management involves monitoring the levels of anti-Rh antibody titers for the development of fetal anemia. Both noninvasive and invasive tests to determine fetal Rh status exist.

RH BLOOD GROUPS

The (Rhesus) Rh system includes more than 100 antigen varieties found on RBCs. RHD is the most common and the most immunogenic. When people have the RHD antigen on their RBCs, they are considered to be RHD-positive; if their RBCs lack the antigen, they are considered to be RHD-negative. The RHD-antigen is inherited in an autosomal dominant fashion, and a person may be heterozygous (Dd) (~60% of Rh-positive people) or homozygous (DD) (approximately 40% of Rh-positive people). Homozygotes always pass the RHD antigen to their offspring, whereas heterozygotes have a 50% chance of passing the antigen to their offspring. A person who is RHD-negative does not have the Rh antigen. Although nomenclature refers to RHD-negative as dd, there is no small d antigen (i.e., they lack the *RHD* gene and the corresponding RHD antigen).

RHD-negative status varies among ethnic groups and is 15% in whites, 5 to 8% in African Americans, 5% to 8%, and 1% to 2% in Asians and Native Americans, respectively.

In the Caucasian population, almost all RHD-negative individuals are homozygous for a deletion of the *RHD* gene. However, in the African-American population, only 18% of RHD-negative individuals are homozygous for an *RHD* deletion, and 66% of RHD-negative African Americans have an inactive RHD pseudogene (*RHDψ*).[1] There are also numerous rare variants of the D antigen, which are recognized by weakness of expression of D and/or by absence of some of the epitopes of D. Some individuals with variant D antigens can make antibodies to one or more epitopes of the D antigen, if exposed to RHD-positive RBCs.

RHD-negative women can have a fetus that is RHD-positive if the fetus inherits the RHD-positive antigen from the paternal father.

CAUSES OF ALLOIMMUNIZATION

By 30 days of gestation, the RHD antigen is expressed on the red blood cell (RBC) membrane, and alloimmunization can occur when fetal Rh-positive RBCs enter maternal circulation, and the Rh-negative mother develops anti-D antibodies.[2] Once anti-D antibodies are present in a pregnant woman's circulation, they can cross the placenta and cause destruction of fetal RBCs.

The production of anti-D antibodies in RHD-negative women is highly variable and significantly affected by several factors, including the volume of fetomaternal hemorrhage, the degree of the maternal immune response, concurrent ABO incompatibility, and fetal homozygosity versus heterozygosity for the D antigen. Therefore, although ~10% of pregnancies are Rh-

incompatible, <20% of Rh-incompatible pregnancies actually lead to maternal alloimmunization.

Small fetomaternal hemorrhages of RHD-positive fetal RBCs into the circulation of an RHD-negative woman occurs in nearly all pregnancies, and incidence of fetomaternal hemorrhage increases as the pregnancy progresses: 7% in the first trimester, 16% in the second trimester, and 29% in the third trimester, with the greatest risk of RHD alloimmunization occurring at birth (15% to 50%). Transplacental hemorrhage accounts for almost all cases of maternal RHD alloimmunization.

Fetomaternal hemorrhage can also be associated with miscarriage, pregnancy termination, ectopic pregnancy, invasive in-utero procedures (e.g., amniocentesis), in utero fetal death, maternal abdominal trauma, antepartum maternal hemorrhage, and external cephalic version. Other causes of alloimmunization include inadvertent transfusion of RHD-positive blood and RHD-mismatched allogeneic hematopoietic stem-cell transplantation.

CONSEQUENCES OF ALLOIMMUNIZATION

IgG antibody–mediated hemolysis of fetal RBCs, known as hemolytic disease of the fetus and newborn, varies in severity and can have a variety of manifestations. The anemia can range from mild to severe with associated hyperbilirubinemia and jaundice. In severe cases, hemolysis may lead to extramedullary hematopoiesis and reticuloendothelial clearance of fetal RBCs, which may result in hepatosplenomegaly, decreased liver function, hypoproteinemia, ascites, and anasarca. When accompanied by high-output cardiac failure and pericardial effusion, this condition is known as hydrops fetalis, which without intervention, is often fatal. Intensive neonatal care, including emergent exchange transfusion, is required.

Cases of hemolysis in the newborn that do not result in fetal hydrops can still lead to kernicterus, a neurologic condition observed in infants with severe hyperbilirubinemia due to the deposition of unconjugated bilirubin in the brain. Symptoms that manifest several days after delivery can include poor feeding, inactivity, loss of the Moro reflex, bulging fontanelle, and seizures. The 10% of infants who survive may develop spastic choreoathetosis, deafness, and/or mental retardation.

The result of disease from alloimmunization, hemolytic disease of the fetus or newborn, was once a major contributor to perinatal morbidity and mortality. However, with the widespread adoption of antenatal and postpartum use of Rh immune globulin in developed countries, the result has been a major decrease in frequency of this disease. In developing countries without prophylaxis programs, stillbirth occurs in 14% of affected pregnancies, and 50% of pregnancy survivors either die in the neonatal period or develop cerebral injury.[3]

PREVENTION OF ALLOIMMUNIZATION

There are four currently in use Rh immune globulin products available in the U.S., all of which undergo micropore filtration to eliminate viral transmission.[3] To date, no reported cases of viral infection related to Rh immune globulin administration have been reported in the U.S.[3] Theoretically, the Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease (CJD) agent could be transmitted by the use of Rh immunoglobulin. Local adverse reactions may occur, including redness, swelling, and mild pain at the site of injection, and hypersensitivity reactions have been reported.

The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) and the American Association of Blood Banks (AABB) recommend the first dose of $Rh_o(D)$ immune globulin (e.g.,

RhoGAM®) be given at 28 weeks' gestation, (or earlier if there's been an invasive event), followed by a postpartum dose given within 72 hours of delivery.

DIAGNOSIS OF ALLOIMMUNIZATION

The diagnosis of alloimmunization is based on detection of anti-RHD antibodies in the maternal serum.

The most common test for determining antibodies in serum is the indirect Coombs test.[2] Maternal serum is incubated with known RHD-positive RBCs. Any anti-RHD antibody present in the maternal serum will adhere to the RBCs. The RBCs are then washed and suspended in Coombs serum, which is antihuman globulin. RBCs coated with maternal anti-RHD will agglutinate, which is referred to as a positive indirect Coombs test. The indirect Coombs titer is the value used to direct management of pregnant alloimmunized women.

MANAGEMENT OF ALLOIMMUNIZATION DURING PREGNANCY

A patient's first alloimmunized pregnancy involves minimal fetal or neonatal disease. Subsequent pregnancies are associated with more severe degrees of fetal anemia. Treatment of an alloimmunized pregnancy requires monitoring of maternal anti-D antibody titers and serial ultrasound assessment of middle cerebral artery peak systolic velocity of the fetus.

If severe fetal anemia is present near term, delivery is performed. If severe anemia is detected remote from term, intrauterine fetal blood transfusions may be performed.

DETERMINING FETAL RHD STATUS

ACOG recommends that all pregnant women should be tested at the time of their first prenatal visit for ABO blood group typing and Rh-D type and be screened for the presence of anti-RBC antibodies. These laboratory tests should be repeated for each subsequent pregnancy. The AABB also recommends that antibody screening be repeated before administration of anti-D immune globulin at 28 weeks' gestation, postpartum, and at the time of any event during pregnancy.

If the mother is determined to be Rh-negative, the paternal Rh status should also be determined at the initial management of a pregnancy. If paternity is certain and the father is Rh-negative, the fetus will be Rh-negative, and further assessment and intervention are unnecessary. If the father is RHD-positive, he can be either homozygous or heterozygous for the D allele. If he is homozygous for the D allele (i.e., D/D) then the fetus is RHD-positive. If the paternal genotype is heterozygous for Rh status or is unknown, determination of the Rh-status of the fetus is the next step.

Invasive and noninvasive testing methods to determine the Rh status of a fetus are available.

Invasive procedures use polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assays to assess the fetal cellular elements in amniotic fluid by amniocentesis or by chorionic villus sampling (CVS). Although CVS can be performed earlier in a pregnancy, amniocentesis is the preferred method because CVS is associated with disruption of the villi and the potential for larger fetomaternal hemorrhage and worsening alloimmunization if the fetus if RHD-positive. The sensitivity and specificity of fetal *RHD* typing by PCR are reported as 98.7% and 100%, respectively, with positive and negative predictive values of 100% and 96.9%, respectively.[4]

Noninvasive testing involves molecular analysis of cell-free fetal DNA (cffDNA) in the maternal plasma or serum. Lo (1998) showed that about 3% of cell-free DNA in the plasma of first trimester pregnant women is of fetal origin, with this percentage rising to 6% in the third trimester.[5] Fetal DNA cannot be separated from maternal DNA, but if the pregnant woman is RHD-negative, the presence of specific exons of the *RHD* gene, which are not normally present in the circulation of an RHD-negative patient, predicts an RHD-positive fetus. Measurement of cffDNA has been proposed as an alternative to obtaining fetal tissue by invasive methods, which are associated with a risk of miscarriage.[1]

The large quantity of maternal DNA compared to fetal DNA in the maternal circulation complicates the inclusion of satisfactory internal controls to test for successful amplification of fetal DNA. Therefore, reactions to detect Y chromosome-linked gene(s) can be included in the test, which will be positive when the fetus is a male.[1] When Y chromosome-linked genes are not detected, tests for polymorphisms may be performed to determine whether the result is derived from fetal but not maternal DNA.

REGULATORY STATUS

Sequenom offers SensiGene™ Fetal RHD Genotyping test, performed by proprietary SEQureDx™ technology. The assay targets exons 4, 5, and 7 of the *RHD* gene located on chromosome 1, psi (ψ) pseudogene in exon 4, and assay controls which are three targets on the Y chromosome (SRY, TTTY, DBY).

The company claims that the uses of its test include:

- Clarify fetal RHD status without testing the father, which would avoid the cost of paternity testing and paternal genotyping.
- Clarify fetal RHD status when maternal anti-D titers are unclear.
- Identify the RHD (-) fetus in mothers who are opposed to immunization(s) and vaccines.
- RHD (-) sensitized patients, which would avoid invasive testing by CVS or genetic amniocentesis.

No U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-cleared genotyping tests were found. Thus, genotyping is offered as a laboratory-developed test. Clinical laboratories may develop and validate tests in-house and market them as a laboratory service; such tests must meet the general regulatory standards of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Act (CLIA). The laboratory offering the service must be licensed by CLIA for high-complexity testing.

EVIDENCE SUMMARY

Human Genome Variation Society (HGVS) nomenclature[6] is used to describe variants found in DNA and serves as an international standard. It is being implemented for genetic testing medical evidence review updates starting in 2017. According to this nomenclature, the term "variant" is used to describe a change in a DNA or protein sequence, replacing previously-used terms, such as "mutation." Pathogenic variants are variants associated with disease, while benign variants are not. The majority of genetic changes have unknown effects on human health, and these are referred to as variants of uncertain significance.

Fetal RHD genotyping is best evaluated in the framework of a diagnostic test, as the test provides diagnostic information that assists in treatment decisions. Validation of the clinical use of any diagnostic test focuses on three main principles:

1. The analytic validity of the test, which refers to the technical accuracy of the test in detecting a mutation that is present or in excluding a mutation that is absent;
2. The clinical validity of the test, which refers to the diagnostic performance of the test (sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values) in detecting clinical disease; and
3. The clinical utility of the test, i.e., how the results of the diagnostic test will be used to change management of the patient and whether these changes in management lead to clinically important improvements in health outcomes.

This evidence review focuses on the clinical validity and utility of testing.

CLINICAL VALIDITY

For the evaluation of clinical validity, studies that reported on the accuracy of the marketed version of the technology, included a suitable reference standard, and described patient/sample clinical characteristics and selection criteria were considered for inclusion.

Systematic Reviews

A systematic review and meta-analysis by Yang (2019) the diagnostic accuracy of high-throughput cffDNA testing to determine fetal RhD status.[7] Study eligibility criteria for the review included a prospective cohort design, inclusion of women who were RhD-negative and not known to be sensitized, and the use of cord blood testing as a comparison standard. Eight studies were included, two of which were judged to be at high risk of bias. The results of the meta-analysis showed a false negative rate of 0.34% (95% confidence interval [CI] 0.15 to 0.76), and a false positive rate of 3.86% (95% CI 2.54 to 5.82) when inconclusive results were treated as positives, which dropped to 1.26% (95% CI 0.87 to 7.83) when inconclusive results were excluded.

Mackie (2017) published a systematic review and meta-analysis of studies on the diagnostic accuracy of cffDNA-based non-invasive prenatal testing.[8] Thirty of the 117 included cohort studies in the analysis evaluated RhD status. The overall sensitivity and specificity were 99.3% and 98.4% respectively. Real-time PCR exhibited higher sensitivity when compared to conventional PCR. There was no difference in specificity. Ten of the 30 studies reported inconclusive results.

Zhu (2014) published a meta-analysis of studies on the diagnostic accuracy of noninvasive fetal *RHD* genotyping using cell-free fetal DNA.[9] The investigators identified 37 studies conducted in RHD-negative pregnant women that were published by the end of 2013. The studies included a total of 11,129 samples, and 352 inconclusive samples were excluded. When all data were pooled, the sensitivity of fetal *RHD* genotyping was 99% and the specificity was 98%. Diagnostic accuracy was higher in samples collected in the first trimester (99.0%) than those collected in the second (98.3%) or third (96.4%) trimesters.

Nonrandomized Studies

A prospective study by Chitty (2014) was published evaluating the diagnostic accuracy of antenatal testing for fetal RHD status.[10] Samples from 2,288 Rh-negative women who initiated prenatal care before 24 weeks of gestation were analyzed using *RHD* genotyping. Overall, the sensitivity of the test was 99.34% and the specificity was 94.91%. The likelihood of correctly detecting RHD status in the fetus increased with gestational age, with high levels of accuracy after 11 weeks. For example, for samples taken before 11 completed weeks of gestation, the

sensitivity was 96.85% and the specificity was 94.40%, and at 14 to 17 weeks' gestation, sensitivity was 99.67% and specificity was 95.34%. These findings of increased accuracy as pregnancies advanced differ from that of the Zhu (2014) meta-analysis, which found highest diagnostic accuracy in the first trimester.

A study published by Wikman (2012) reported the results of a prospective, population-based study involving 4,118 RHD-negative, non-alloimmunized pregnant women from 83 maternity care centers.[11] Median gestational age was 10 weeks (range 3 to 40 weeks), with 75.5% of patients undergoing testing in the first trimester, 18.8% in the second, 4.3% in the third, and 1.4% unknown. Extracted DNA samples from each woman were analyzed in triplicate. Reanalysis had to be performed in 211 (5.1%) cases with inconclusive results in the first analysis. A positive or negative fetal RHD was reported for 96% of the samples, with 165 (4%) remaining inconclusive. A second sample was then obtained from 147 of the 165 pregnancies with inconclusive results: 14 (0.8%) remained inconclusive, all resulting from a weak or silent maternal *RHD* gene. Blood group serology of the newborns was used as the gold standard, and blood group serology results were missing for 466 pregnancies, leaving 3,652 newborns for whom the validity of *RHD* genotyping could be assessed. The false-negative rate (*RHD* genotyping was Rh-negative, but newborn was determined to be Rh-positive) was 55 of 2,297 (2.4%) and the false-positive rate (*RHD* genotyping was Rh-positive, but newborn was determined to be Rh-negative) was 15 of 1,355 (1.1%). After exclusion of the samples obtained before the eighth week of gestation, the false-negative rate was 23 of 2,073 (1.1%) and the false-positive rate was 14 of 1,218 (1.1%). Both sensitivity and specificity were close to 99% if the samples were not collected before gestational week eight. The authors note that a limitation of their study was the lack of a positive control for fetal DNA.

Moise (2012) analyzed samples from 120 patients who were enrolled prospectively between May 2009 and July 2010 from multiple centers.[12] All patients were Rh-negative pregnant patients with no evidence of alloimmunization. Race/ethnicity was Caucasian/white (72.5%), African-American/black (12.5%), Hispanic/Latino (12.5%), Asian (0.8%), and other (1.7%). The samples were analyzed using the SensiGENE RHD test using matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) to detect control and fetal-specific DNA signals. The determination of fetal sex was: three Y-chromosome markers=male fetus, two markers=inconclusive, and one or no markers=female fetus. The algorithm for *RHD* determination was: pseudogene present=inconclusive, three *RHD* markers present=*RHD*-positive fetus, two markers present=inconclusive, one or no markers= *RHD*-negative fetus. The pregnant patients underwent planned venipunctures during three time periods in gestation: 11 to $13^{6/7}$, 16 to $19^{6/7}$, and 28 to $29^{6/7}$ weeks. Median gestational age of the first, second and third trimester samplings was 12.4 (range 10.6 to 13.9) weeks, 17.6 (16 to 20.9) weeks and 28.7 (27.9 to 33.9) weeks, respectively. Twenty-two samples (6.3% of the total samples; 2.5% of the patients) were deemed inconclusive. In 23% of these inclusive cases, there was an *RHD*-negative, female result, but there were an insufficient number of paternal SNVs detected to confirm the presence of fetal DNA. In the remaining 77% of the inconclusive results (4.8% of the total samples), the *RHD* ψ-pseudogene was detected, and the sample was deemed inconclusive. Erroneous results were observed for six of the samples (1.7%) and included discrepancies in four *RHD* typings (1.1%) and two fetal sex determinations (0.6%) following data unblinding. Three cases of RHD typing were false positives (cffDNA was RHD-positive but neonatal serology RHD-negative) and one case was a false negative (cffDNA was RHD-negative but neonatal serology was RHD-positive). Accuracy for determination of the RHD status of the fetus was 99.1%, 99.1%, and 98.1%, respectively for each of the three consecutive trimesters of pregnancy, and accuracy of fetal sex

determination was 99.1%, 99.1%, and 100%, respectively. The authors note, "the current test has not been validated for its ability to predict the zygosity of the fetus when the psi-pseudogene is detected because of limited number of pseudogene cases in conjunction with the challenge of assessing limited fetal copies against the high background of maternal DNA."

Bombard (2011) analyzed the performance of the SensiGene Fetal RHD Genotyping test in two cohorts using a retrospective study design. Cohort 1 used as a reference point the clinical RHD serotype obtained from cord blood at delivery. Samples from cohort 2 were originally genotyped at the Sequenom Center in Grand Rapids, Michigan and results were used for clinical validation of genotyping performed at the Sequenom Center in San Diego, California.[13]

In cohort 1, *RHD* genotyping was performed on 236 maternal plasma samples from singleton, nonsensitized pregnancies with documented fetal RHD serology. The samples were obtained at 11 to 13 weeks' gestation. Ethnic origin of the pregnant women was Caucasian (77.1%), African (19.1%), mixed race (3.4%) and South Asian (0.4%). Neonatal RHD phenotype, determined by serology at the time of birth, was positive in 69.1% of samples and negative in 30.9% of samples. In two (0.9%) of the 236 samples, there the results were classified as invalid. In the 234 (99.1%) samples with sufficient DNA extraction, the result was conclusive in 207 samples (88.5%); inconclusive in 16 samples (6.8%); and ψ-positive/*RHD* variant in 11 samples (4.7%). In the 207 samples with a conclusive result, the neonatal RhD phenotype was positive in 142 samples (68.6%) and negative in 65 samples (31.4%). The Fetal RHD Genotyping test correctly predicted the neonatal RHD phenotype in 201 of 207 samples for an accuracy of 97.1% (95% CI 93.5 to 98.8). In the 142 samples with RHD-positive fetuses, the test predicted that the fetus was positive in 138 and negative in four, for a sensitivity of prediction of RHD positivity of 97.2% (95% CI 93.0 to 98.9). In 63 of the 65 samples with RHD-negative fetuses, the Fetal RHD Genotyping test predicted that the fetus was negative and, in the remaining two, that it was positive, for a specificity for the prediction of RHD positivity of 96.9% (95% CI 89.5 to 99.1). The test predicted that the fetus was RHD-positive in 140 samples, of which, in 138 of these the prediction was correct, for a positive predictive value of 98.6% (95% CI 94.9 to 99.6). The test predicted that the fetus was RHD-negative in 67 samples, of which, in 63 of these the prediction was correct, for a negative predictive value for RHD-positive fetuses of 94.0% (95% CI 85.6 to 97.6). Cohort 1 samples were limited in the amount of sample available for analysis.

Cohort 2 consisted of 205 samples from 6 to 30 weeks' gestation. Testing was for the presence of *RHD* exon sequences 4, 5, 7, the ψ-pseudogene, and three Y-chromosome sequences (SRY, DBY and TTTY2), using MALDI-TOF MS (the RHD Genotyping laboratory developed test). The laboratory performing the assays for both cohorts was blinded to the sex and fetal RHD genotype. In cohort 2, the test correctly classified 198 of 199 patients, for a test accuracy of 99.5%, with a sensitivity and specificity for prediction of RHD genotype of 100.0% and 98.3%, respectively.

Other studies have replicated previous findings that fetal *RHD* genotyping can be accurately determined using cffDNA from maternal plasma, although not all Rh-positive fetuses are identified.[14-21]

CLINICAL UTILITY

No published data are identified showing that this type of testing leads to improved health outcomes. This type of testing could lead to the avoidance of the use of anti-D immune globulin (e.g., RhoGAM) in Rh-negative mothers with Rh-negative fetuses. However, the false

negative rate of the test, while low, is not zero, and a certain percentage of Rh-negative women will develop alloimmunization to Rh-positive fetuses. Other issues that still need to be defined include the optimal timing of testing during the pregnancy.

A systematic review by Runkel (2020) evaluated the evidence for the benefit of cffDNA testing for fetal RhD status in RhD-negative pregnant women and reported a lack of studies investigating patient-relevant outcomes.[22] They additionally performed a meta-analysis of diagnostic accuracy studies and reported a high sensitivity and specificity for the testing.

EVIDENCE SUMMARY

The clinical validity of fetal *RHD* genotyping is high, in that the test has shown a high degree of accuracy in correctly predicting fetal RHD status. However, the test does not identify all Rh-positive fetuses, which may lead to alloimmunization of the Rh-negative mothers in these cases. The current data that demonstrates how the results from cell-free fetal DNA analysis in maternal blood are used to alter treatment decisions and improve health outcomes compared to conventional testing are lacking. Therefore, the clinical utility of fetal *RHD* genotyping is unknown, and it is uncertain whether it will lead to improved health outcomes.

PRACTICE GUIDELINE SUMMARY

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF BLOOD BANKS (AABB)

AABB does not have specific practice guidelines or recommendations on the use of fetal RHD genotyping.

AMERICAN COLLEGE OF OBSTETRICIANS AND GYNECOLOGISTS (ACOG)

The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists Practice Bulletins 192 (2018) and 181 (2017) address management and prevention of RHD alloimmunization, respectively.[23, 24] The Bulletins note that although the detection of fetal RHD using molecular analysis of maternal plasma or serum can be assessed in the second trimester with an accuracy greater than 99%, it is not recommended nor widely used as a clinical tool.

SUMMARY

More research is needed to know how well fetal *RHD* genotyping with maternal plasma works for improving health outcomes compared to current standard of care. No clinical guidelines based on research recommend fetal *RHD* genotyping with maternal plasma. Therefore, fetal *RHD* genotyping using maternal plasma is considered investigational.

REFERENCES

1. Daniels G, Finning K, Martin P, et al. Fetal RhD genotyping: a more efficient use of anti-D immunoglobulin. *Transfus Clin Biol.* 2007;14:568-71. PMID: 18436463
2. Moise K. Overview of Rhesus (Rh) alloimmunization in pregnancy. 2013. PMID:
3. Moise KJ, Jr., Argoti PS. Management and prevention of red cell alloimmunization in pregnancy: a systematic review. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2012;120:1132-9. PMID: 23090532

4. Van den Veyver IB, Moise KJ, Jr. Fetal RhD typing by polymerase chain reaction in pregnancies complicated by rhesus alloimmunization. *Obstet Gynecol.* 1996;88:1061-7. PMID: 8942854

5. Lo YM, Tein MS, Lau TK, et al. Quantitative analysis of fetal DNA in maternal plasma and serum: implications for noninvasive prenatal diagnosis. *Am J Hum Genet.* 1998;62:768-75. PMID: 9529358

6. den Dunnen JT, Dalgleish R, Maglott DR, et al. HGVS Recommendations for the Description of Sequence Variants: 2016 Update. *Human mutation.* 2016;37(6):564-9. PMID: 26931183

7. Yang H, Llewellyn A, Walker R, et al. High-throughput, non-invasive prenatal testing for fetal rhesus D status in RhD-negative women: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC medicine.* 2019;17(1):37. PMID: 30760268

8. Mackie FL, Hemming K, Allen S, et al. The accuracy of cell-free fetal DNA-based non-invasive prenatal testing in singleton pregnancies: a systematic review and bivariate meta-analysis. *BJOG : an international journal of obstetrics and gynaecology.* 2017;124(1):32-46. PMID: 27245374

9. Zhu YJ, Zheng YR, Li L, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of non-invasive fetal RhD genotyping using cell-free fetal DNA: a meta analysis. *The journal of maternal-fetal & neonatal medicine : the official journal of the European Association of Perinatal Medicine, the Federation of Asia and Oceania Perinatal Societies, the International Society of Perinatal Obstet.* 2014;27(18):1839-44. PMID: 24422551

10. Chitty LS, Finning K, Wade A, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of routine antenatal determination of fetal RHD status across gestation: population based cohort study. *BMJ.* 2014;349:g5243. PMID: 25190055

11. Wikman AT, Tiblad E, Karlsson A, et al. Noninvasive single-exon fetal RHD determination in a routine screening program in early pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2012;120(2 Pt 1):227-34. PMID: 22776962

12. Moise KJ, Jr., Boring NH, O'Shaughnessy R, et al. Circulating cell-free fetal DNA for the detection of RHD status and sex using reflex fetal identifiers. *Prenatal diagnosis.* 2013;33(1):95-101. PMID: 23225162

13. Bombard AT, Akolekar R, Farkas DH, et al. Fetal RHD genotype detection from circulating cell-free fetal DNA in maternal plasma in non-sensitized RhD negative women. *Prenatal diagnosis.* 2011;31(8):802-8. PMID: 21626507

14. Ziza KC, Liao AW, Dezan M, et al. Determination of Fetal RHD Genotype Including the RHD Pseudogene in Maternal Plasma. *Journal of clinical laboratory analysis.* 2017;31(3). PMID: 27595845

15. Ahmadi MH, Hantuoshzadeh S, Okhovat MA, et al. Fetal RHD Genotyping from Circulating Cell-Free Fetal DNA in Plasma of Rh Negative Pregnant Women in Iran. *Indian journal of hematology & blood transfusion : an official journal of Indian Society of Hematology and Blood Transfusion.* 2016;32(4):447-53. PMID: 27812255

16. Moezzi L, Keshavarz Z, Ranjbaran R, et al. Fetal RHD Genotyping Using Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction Analysis of Cell-Free Fetal DNA in Pregnancy of RhD Negative Women in South of Iran. *International journal of fertility & sterility.* 2016;10(1):62-70. PMID: 27123202

17. Moise KJ, Jr., Gandhi M, Boring NH, et al. Circulating Cell-Free DNA to Determine the Fetal RHD Status in All Three Trimesters of Pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2016;128(6):1340-46. PMID: 27824757

18. Papasavva T, Martin P, Legler TJ, et al. Prevalence of RhD status and clinical application of non-invasive prenatal determination of fetal RHD in maternal plasma: a 5 year experience in Cyprus. *BMC research notes.* 2016;9:198. PMID: 27036548
19. Vivanti A, Benachi A, Huchet FX, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of fetal rhesus D genotyping using cell-free fetal DNA during the first trimester of pregnancy. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology.* 2016;215(5):606 e1-06 e5. PMID: 27393271
20. Manfroi S, Calisesi C, Fagiani P, et al. Prenatal non-invasive foetal RHD genotyping: diagnostic accuracy of a test as a guide for appropriate administration of antenatal anti-D immunoprophylaxis. *Blood transfusion = Trasfusione del sangue.* 2018;16(6):514-24. PMID: 29757138
21. Bingulac-Popović J, Babić I, Đogić V, et al. Prenatal RHD genotyping in Croatia: preliminary results. *Transfus Clin Biol.* 2021;28(1):38-43. PMID: 33227453
22. Runkel B, Bein G, Sieben W, et al. Targeted antenatal anti-D prophylaxis for RhD-negative pregnant women: a systematic review. *BMC pregnancy and childbirth.* 2020;20(1):83. PMID: 32033599
23. ACOG Practice Bulletin No. 192 Summary: Management of Alloimmunization During Pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2018;131(3):611-12. PMID: 29470338
24. Practice Bulletin No. 181: Prevention of Rh D Alloimmunization. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2017;130(2):e57-e70. PMID: 28742673

CODES

Codes	Number	Description
CPT	81403	Molecular pathology procedure, Level 4 *RHD (Rh blood group, D antigen)* (eg, hemolytic disease of the fetus and newborn, Rh maternal/fetal compatibility), deletion analysis (eg, exons 4, 5 and 7, pseudogene), performed on cell-free fetal DNA in maternal blood (For human erythrocyte gene analysis of RHD, use a separate unit of 81403)
HCPCS	None	

Date of Origin: June 2014