

Regence

Medical Policy Manual

Genetic Testing, Policy No. 50

Genetic Testing for Statin-Induced Myopathy

Effective: March 1, 2024

Next Review: January 2025

Last Review: January 2024

IMPORTANT REMINDER

Medical Policies are developed to provide guidance for members and providers regarding coverage in accordance with contract terms. Benefit determinations are based in all cases on the applicable contract language. To the extent there may be any conflict between the Medical Policy and contract language, the contract language takes precedence.

PLEASE NOTE: Contracts exclude from coverage, among other things, services or procedures that are considered investigational or cosmetic. Providers may bill members for services or procedures that are considered investigational or cosmetic. Providers are encouraged to inform members before rendering such services that the members are likely to be financially responsible for the cost of these services.

DESCRIPTION

HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors, or statins, which are widely used to treat hypercholesterolemia, can cause muscle-related adverse events. Serious myopathy (i.e., myositis, rhabdomyolysis) can also occur and may be associated with variants in the *SLCO1B1* gene.

MEDICAL POLICY CRITERIA

Genetic testing for the presence of variants in the *SLCO1B1* gene to identify patients at risk of statin-induced myopathy is considered **not medically necessary**.

NOTE: A summary of the supporting rationale for the policy criteria is at the end of the policy.

CROSS REFERENCES

None

BACKGROUND

HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors, or statin drugs, are the primary pharmacologic treatment for hypercholesterolemia worldwide. In the United States, an estimated 38 million people took statins in 2008.^[1] The use of statins is associated with an approximately 30% reduction in

cardiovascular events across a wide variety of populations.^[2] A variety of socioeconomic disparities in cardiovascular outcomes and implementation of risk-reducing measures, including use of statins and other agents for managing hypercholesterolemia, have been identified. Women with coronary artery disease are less likely to be receiving a statin than men, and those taking statins are less likely to have therapy intensified and to achieve lipid control compared to men taking statins.^[3-5] Black individuals at high risk of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease are significantly less likely to be prescribed statins compared to similar White individuals, and rates of lipid control are lower among Black and non-White Hispanic individuals taking statins compared to White individuals taking statins.^[6, 7] These observations are mediated in part through disparities in social determinants of health, such as income, insurance, and immigration status.^[7, 8]

STATIN-INDUCED MYOPATHY

Statins are associated with a known risk of muscle-related symptoms, which are the most common adverse effects of statin drugs. Myopathy is a general term for muscle toxicity. Three categories of statin-induced myopathy were defined in 2002 by a joint committee of the American College of Cardiology, American Heart Association, and National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute^[9]:

- Statin-induced myalgia, defined as any muscle symptoms that occur without an elevation of serum creatinine kinase;
- Statin-induced myositis, defined as muscle symptoms with an elevation of serum creatinine kinase; and
- Statin-induced rhabdomyolysis, defined as markedly severe muscle symptoms with an elevation of creatinine kinase greater than 10 times normal with an elevation in serum creatinine.

Statin-induced myalgia is the most common manifestation of myopathy; it is characterized by muscle pain, cramps, fatigue, and/or weakness.^[10] Myalgias without other clinical manifestations are not associated with clinically important adverse events and resolve when the statin is discontinued.

The incidence of myalgia varies widely. In clinical trials, these have been reported in 1.5% to 3.0% of patients; in most trials, the rate of myalgias in patients on statin therapy is not increased compared with placebo treatment.^[11] In observational studies, higher rates of 10% to 15% have been reported.^[2]

Myositis is much less common than myalgias, with an estimated rate of 5 per 100,000 patient-years, and an estimated per-person incidence of 0.01%.^[11] In virtually all cases, myositis resolves with discontinuation of the statin.

Rhabdomyolysis is the most severe clinical manifestation of statin-induced myopathy and can be life-threatening. The National Lipid Association estimated that rhabdomyolysis occurs at a rate of 1.6 per 100,000 patient-years, and the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) adverse events reporting system has estimated a rate of 0.7 per 100,000 patient-years.^[11] A 2006 systematic review combined results from 20 clinical trials and estimated the rate of rhabdomyolysis to be 1.6 cases per 100,000 patient-years.^[12] Fatalities from statin-induced rhabdomyolysis can occur, but the mortality rate is not well-defined. FDA estimated that deaths from rhabdomyolysis occur at a rate of less than 1 death per million prescriptions.^[9]

A number of clinical factors are associated with an increased risk of statin myopathy. Statin dose is probably the strongest risk factor, with an estimated 6-fold increase for patients on high-dose statins^[13] Age is also a strong risk factor. One 2007 study reported that patients older than 65 years of age required hospitalization for statin-induced myositis at a rate that was 4 times higher than for younger patients.^[14] Some statins may be associated with higher risk than others, and concomitant administration of certain drugs (eg, gemfibrozil, amiodarone) has been associated with higher rates of statin myopathy in clinical trials.^[13] Other factors that may be associated with myopathy include female sex and intense physical exercise.^[13] The perceived risk of statin-induced myopathy may contribute to suboptimal statin use in patients with indications. It is estimated that less than 50% of patients in the United States who would benefit from statins are currently taking them, a substantial percentage of whom do not adhere to prescribed statin regimens.^[1]

GENETIC FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH STATIN-INDUCED MYOPATHY

A variety of genetic factors are associated with statin myopathy. The cytochrome p450 system in the liver is the main pathway by which statins are metabolized. Numerous genetic variants in cytochrome p450 proteins affect the pharmacokinetics of statin metabolism and serum statin levels.^[2] Other genetic variants affect statin metabolism, efficacy, and susceptibility to adverse effects; these genetic variants involve variations in the apolipoproteins such as apo E, variations in the cholesterol ester transfer proteins, or variations in the coenzyme Q pathway.^[1]

Variations in the *SLCO1B1* gene also affect statin metabolism and are among the most well studied genetic variants. These variants are the genetic markers for which there are commercially available tests. This gene codes for a transporter protein that is part of the solute carrier organic ion transporter system, which mediates the influx and metabolism of statins in the liver.^[2] Single nucleotide variants (SNVs) in this gene are associated with variations in the risk of statin-induced myopathy. A number of variant alleles with impacts on expression and/or function of *SLCO1B1* have been identified and arranged by the Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium into phenotypic categories, including poor, decreased, normal, and increased transporter function phenotypes.¹⁵ Poor and decreased function phenotypes frequently involve the well-studied rs4149056 c.521T>C variant found in the *SLCO1B1**5 and *15 haplotypes; these variants are estimated to occur in 15% of the population and are associated with increased risk of statin-induced myopathy.¹⁰ The estimated prevalence of poor and decreased function phenotypes is highest in individuals of Native American (42%), North African or Middle Eastern (36%), European (31%), East Asian (22%), and Central/South Asian (13%) descent.^[15] Other genes have also been studied, including *ABCB1*, which encodes ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters subfamily B member 1 (*ABCB1*/P-glycoprotein 1), *ABCG2*, which encodes ABC transporters subfamily G member 2 (*ABCG2*/breast cancer resistance protein), and the coenzyme Q2 (*COQ2*) homolog gene. Other studies have evaluated the association between variants in the *GATM* gene and statin-induced myopathy (the *GATM* gene encodes a glycine amidinotransferase that is the rate-limited enzyme in creatine biosynthesis). However, it should be noted that the association between variants has not been consistently replicated.^[16]

Commercially Available *SLCO1B1* Molecular Diagnostic Tests

Several commercial and academic labs offer genetic testing for statin-induced myopathy (*SLCO1B1*) variants. For example, Boston Heart Diagnostics markets a test for the (*SLCO1B1*) genotype. This test uses real-time polymerase chain reaction to identify patients

with the T/T, T/C, or C/C genotype.^[17]

ARUP Laboratories (Salt Lake City, UT) markets a test for *SLCO1B1* variants that uses polymerase chain reaction with fluorescence monitoring to identify the rs4149056C variant in the *SLCO1B1* gene.

Some labs offer panel tests for drug metabolism, which may use Sanger sequencing or next-generation sequencing, that include the *SLCO1B1* gene.

REGULATORY STATUS

Clinical laboratories may develop and validate tests in-house and market them as a laboratory service; laboratory-developed tests must meet the general regulatory standards of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments. The Boston Heart Statin Induced Myopathy (*SLCO1B1*) Genotype test and ARUP Laboratories Statin Sensitivity *SLCO1B1* are available under the auspices of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments. Laboratories that offer laboratory-developed tests must be licensed by the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments for high-complexity testing. To date, FDA has chosen not to require any regulatory review of this test.

EVIDENCE SUMMARY

Human Genome Variation Society (HGVS) nomenclature^[18] is used to describe variants found in DNA and serves as an international standard. It is being implemented for genetic testing medical evidence review updates starting in 2017. According to this nomenclature, the term “variant” is used to describe a change in a DNA or protein sequence, replacing previously-used terms, such as “mutation.” Pathogenic variants are variants associated with disease, while benign variants are not. The majority of genetic changes have unknown effects on human health, and these are referred to as variants of uncertain significance.

Validation of the clinical use of any genetic test focuses on 3 main principles: (1) analytic validity, which refers to the technical accuracy of the test in detecting a variant that is present or excluding a variant that is absent; (2) clinical validity, which refers to the diagnostic performance of the test (sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values) in detecting clinical disease; and (3) clinical utility (ie, how the results of the diagnostic test will be used to change management of the patient, and whether these changes in management lead to clinically important improvements in health outcomes). The following is a summary of the key literature.

TESTING FOR *SLCO1B1* VARIANTS

Clinical Context and Test Purpose

The purpose of genetic testing for *SLCO1B1* variants in patients who are taking statin drugs is to inform a decision whether patients identified as at risk for statin-associated myopathy should continue taking statin drugs.

Analytic Validity

At least 2 labs (Boston Heart Diagnostics, ARUP Laboratories) perform the statin-induced myopathy test using real-time polymerase chain reaction. This technique permits detection and amplification of DNA fragments simultaneously. While an accepted method of genetic analysis

with generally high accuracy, no published information was found on the accuracy of this technique for detecting genetic variants associated with statin-induced myopathy. ARUP Laboratories has reported that the test's analytic sensitivity and specificity are greater than 99% for identification of the presence of 1 or 2 copies of *SLCO1B1**5.^[19]

Clinical Validity

No studies were identified that reported the sensitivity or specificity of genetic testing for statin-induced myopathy in populations with suspected statin-induced myopathy. Studies that were identified have reported the degree of risk for myopathy associated with the *SLCO1B1* genetic variants. Those studies include genome-wide association studies, case-control studies, cohort analyses, and clinical trials. Representative types of each study are discussed next.

Randomized Controlled Trials

Genome-wide association studies have reported that *SLCO1B1* variants are associated with statin-induced myopathy. The SEARCH study group published a genome-wide association study in 2008 based on data from a randomized controlled trial of 12,064 patients with a prior myocardial infarction assigned to simvastatin 80 mg or simvastatin 20 mg.^[10] Of the 6031 patients in the 80-mg statin group, 48 (0.8%) had elevated serum creatinine kinase (CK) level more than 10 times normal, and an additional 48 (0.8%) patients had a CK level that was more than 3 times normal and more than 5 times the baseline level. These subjects were matched with 96 control subjects without CK elevation, matched for sex, age, renal function, and ancillary medication use. Adequate DNA samples were available for 85 patients with myopathy and 90 controls, and these patients formed the study group for derivation of the genome associations.

The *SLCO1B1* locus was the single nucleotide variants that had a strong association with myopathy, at a corrected p value of 0.001. The estimated odds ratio (OR) for myopathy in patients with a single C allele was 4.3 (95% confidence interval [CI], 2.5 to 7.2), and the estimated odds for patients homozygous for the C allele was 17.4 (95% CI, 4.8 to 62.9). Based on these data, the cumulative risk of developing myopathy after 6 years of treatment with simvastatin 80 mg was 0.6% for patients with the T/T allele, 3% for patients with the T/C allele, and 18% for patients with the C/C allele. Other clinical factors that predicted a risk of myopathy were female sex (relative risk [RR], 1.8; 95% CI, 1.1 to 2.8), age 65 and older (RR=2.2; 95% CI, 1.4 to 3.4), impaired renal function (RR=2.2; 95% CI, 1.4 to 3.4), use of amiodarone (RR=6.4; 95% CI, 3.4 to 12.1), use of calcium antagonists (RR=1.7; 95% CI, 1.2 to 2.6), and diabetes (RR=1.7; 95% CI, 1.0 to 2.9).

SEARCH investigators replicated the association of the *SLCO1B1* genetic variant with myopathy in 16,664 patients from a separate randomized controlled trial, the Heart Protection Study. In this study, all patients were treated with simvastatin 40 mg, and 23 (0.1%) were identified with CK levels greater than 10 times normal. *SLCO1B1* variants were also strongly associated with myopathy in this replication study, with a corrected p value of 0.004. The estimated odds ratio for the presence of a C allele was 2.6 (95% CI, 1.3 to 5.0).

The STRENGTH (Statin Response Examined by Genetic Haplotype Markers) study was a randomized trial that examined statin response and safety by dose of statin, statin type, and presence of genetic markers.^[20] A total of 509 patients were randomized to various doses of atorvastatin, pravastatin, or simvastatin and followed for adverse events, including myopathy. The presence of at least 1 variant on the *SLCO1B1* gene was associated with an increased

rate of adverse events (37% vs 25%, $p=0.03$). There was also evidence of a “dose-response” effect, with the risk of adverse events being 19% with no variant alleles, 27% with 1 variant allele, and 50% with 2 variant alleles ($p=0.01$ for trend). The association between *SLCO1B1* gene status and adverse event rates did not appear to be present for patients who received pravastatin.

Case-Control and Cohort Studies

A case-control study reporting on the risk of myopathy associated with *SLCO1B1* variants was reported in 2012.^[21] This study by Brunham identified cases with statin-induced myopathy, defined as muscle symptoms with a CK elevation at least 10 times normal, from 2 large lipid clinics in the Netherlands. Twenty-five cases of myopathy were identified from 9000 total patients, for a prevalence of 0.26%. These patients were matched for age, sex, statin type, and statin dose, with 84 patients who did not have myopathy. In the whole cohort of patients taking any statin, there was a nonsignificant trend toward an increase in myopathy for patients with a *SLCO1B1* variant (OR=1.5; 95% CI, 0.58 to 3.69; $p=0.21$). When restricted to patients on simvastatin, the association was stronger but not statistically significant (OR=3.2; 95% CI, 0.83 to 11.96; $p=0.06$).

Carr (2013) reported results from a similar case-control study evaluating the risk of statin-induced myopathy associated with *SLCO1B1* variants.^[22] The authors identified 77 statin-induced myopathy patients (serum CK >4 times the upper limit of normal) and 372 statin-tolerant controls from a U.K. large database of anonymous longitudinal medical records. In multiple logistic regression analyses to determine statin-associated myopathy risk, the presence of the C allele in the *SLCO1B1* gene was significantly associated with myopathy: for all myopathy, the adjusted odds per C allele was 2.08 (95% CI, 1.3 to 3.32); for severe myopathy, the adjusted odds per C allele was 4.47 (95% CI, 1.84 to 10.84). When analysis was restricted to only those patients receiving simvastatin ($n=281$), there was a significant association between the *SLCO1B1* gene status and myopathy (adjusted OR per C allele, 2.13; 95% CI, 1.29 to 3.54; $p=0.014$). In contrast, when the analysis was restricted to only those patients receiving atorvastatin ($n=121$), no significant association was found. Variations in the *COQ2* gene were not associated with statin-induced myopathy.

Some evidence, including the Carr results, has suggested that the association between myopathy and *SLCO1B1* genotype is most pronounced for simvastatin. Danik (2013) evaluated the role of *SLCO1B1* variants as effect modifiers for clinical myalgia in the Prevention: an Intervention Trial Evaluating Rosuvastatin (JUPITER) trial, which randomized subjects to rosuvastatin (20 mg/d) or placebo.^[23] Among the 4404 subjects allocated to rosuvastatin, there was no significant association between *SLCO1B1* gene status and either muscle symptoms or a diagnosis of rhabdomyolysis, myopathy, or myositis.

In a subanalysis of a prospective population-based cohort study of chronic diseases in the elderly population, de Keyser (2014) evaluated whether *SLCO1B1* variants modify the risk of adverse drug reactions during statin therapy among 2080 patients who received simvastatin or atorvastatin and had *SLCO1B1* genotype available.^[24] The study’s primary outcome was a reduction in statin dose or a switch to another statin-lowering drug as an indicator for an adverse drug reaction. Among simvastatin users, the T>C variant was significantly associated with the primary outcome. Patients with the CC genotype had a hazard ratio for dose decrease or switch of 1.74 (95% CI, 1.05 to 2.88). A similar association was *not* seen among atorvastatin users.

Ferrari (2014) conducted a case-control study among patients treated with atorvastatin, rosuvastatin, or simvastatin to assess the contribution of variants in the *SLCO1B1*, *ABCB1*, and *ABCG2* genes to the risk of statin-induced myopathy.^[25] Cases (n=33) included patients with statin-induced elevations in serum CK levels of greater than 3 times the upper limit of normal; they were compared with 33 matched controls. Patients with increased CK levels had significantly increased odds for the *SLCO1B1* C allele (OR=8.86; p<0.01) or the *ABCB1* T allele (OR=4.67; p<0.05). Patients with increased CK levels did not have significantly increased odds of having the *ABCG2* genotype.

Canestaro (2014) conducted a systematic review of studies evaluating the association between a number of genetic variants, including *SLCO1B1*, and statin serum concentrations and subsequent myopathy.^[26] Thirteen studies were identified, which evaluated 7 genes in classes: 3 cytochrome p450 enzymes (*CYP2D6*, *CYP3A4*, *CYP3A5*), the mitochondrial enzyme glycine amidinotransferase (*GATM*), *SLCO1B1*, and the cell efflux transporters genes (*ABCB1*, *ABCG2*). The STRENGTH and SEARCH studies, along with the 2012 Brunham study,^[21] were included in the systematic review. Reviewers concluded that the evidence for an association between the *5 allele of the *SLCO1B1* gene and statin-related myopathy was strong and replicated in multiple studies, particularly for simvastatin. A 2015 meta-analysis of case-control studies supported these findings; the variant C allele, in particular, increased the risk of severe myopathy.^[27] The increased risk was observed for simvastatin but not atorvastatin.

A small cohort study (N=26) published by Elam (2017) analyzed 16 patients with a history of discontinuation of one or more statins due to statin myalgia without significant elevation in creatine phosphokinase (>5-fold above normal) compared with a statin-tolerant control (n=10).^[28] Age ranged from 46 to 78 years (mean, 65.4 years in the re-challenge group; mean, 60.9 years in the control group), and the groups were parallel in terms of disease history and laboratory characteristics, except for statistically significant differences in: use of vitamin D supplements (p=0.04) and testosterone (p=0.01); and variation in levels of high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (CRP; p=0.002), cholesterol (p=0.002), and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (p=0.008). In addition to the reporting of muscle symptoms, the analysis consisted of subjecting differentially expressed genes to Ingenuity Pathway Analysis and DAVID (Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery) to establish the presence of disruption in gene expression and metabolic pathways in skeletal muscles.

Most cases (75%) had a recurrence of muscle symptoms during the re-challenge; and nearly one-third (31%) on average discontinued statin treatment within 9.3 days (range, 3-14 days) due to symptoms. The most prominent pathways altered by statins included:

- *TP53* (response to cellular stress)
- *BARD1*, *MRE11*, *RAD51* (tumor suppression, apoptosis, cell senescence, DNA repair)
- *CXCL12*, *CST5*, *POU2F1* (activation of proinflammatory immune response)
- *FDFT1*, *LSS*, *TP53*, *UBD*, *ATF2*, *H-ras* (protein catabolism, cholesterol biosynthesis, protein prenylation, RAS-GTPase activation).

These pathway alterations suggest that cellular stress and inflammatory immune responses may contribute to the development of statin-related myalgia. Three single nucleotide variants associated presented with increased frequency among patients with statin myalgia (*SLCO1B1*, p=0.039; *SLCO2B1*, p=0.01; *RYR2*, p=0.16). The authors of the cohort study suggested that

an increase in the expression of mevalonate pathway enzymes downstream of HMG-CoA reductase in patients with statin-induced myalgia reflects a genetic predisposition to myopathies via increased exposure of muscle to statin. However, they concluded that these findings are tentative and must be validated, noting several limitations. Single gene associations are representative only and did not pass genome-wide test correction thresholds. The gene networks identified in pathway analyses represent statistical associations rather than causality. The analyses did not exclude changes in miRNA, protein expression or activity, or epigenetic changes. Intolerance to statins can occur even after periods of tolerance.

In contrast to the positive associations found among single nucleotide variants with statin-related myopathies in other studies, a small retrospective analysis (N=52) in Japan by Sai (2016) did not find statistically significant associations for *SLCO1B1* or *GATM*.^[29] However, the analysis did find an association for HLA-DRB1 (OR=3.19; p=0.003) that was significant, suggesting a possible association with the onset of statin-related myopathies. The authors noted that while the findings were suggestive of possible ethnic-related genetic markers, the study required further validation and variation in dosage and type of statin used in Japan compared with the U.S. limits generalizability.

A prospective analysis by Stranecky (2016) assessed the genomic data for 86 patients (age range, 29-84 years) in Prague who were being treated with statins for hypercholesterolemia and suffering from statin-related myopathy.^[30] The authors used whole genome genotyping to investigate the possible contribution of large copy-number variants (CNVs) in the development of symptoms. Patients (65% women) received either simvastatin, atorvastatin, rosuvastatin, or combination throughout a 4-year period. There were 53 (62%) cases of myopathy and 34 (40%) cases of muscle symptoms reported. No large CNVs were found in the *SLCO1B1* region; moreover, there were no large CNVs associated with statin-related myopathy. The authors reported no limitations to the study.

Section Summary: Clinical Validity

The available evidence from genome-wide association studies has suggested that *SLCO1B1* variants are associated with the risk of statin-associated myopathy. Prospective case-control studies and randomized controlled trials have been mixed in demonstrating an association between *SLCO1B1* variants and statin-associated myopathy. A small Japanese study requiring further validation found a possible association to ethnic-related genetic markers. Additionally, large CNVs with the potential to affect genes involved in drug metabolism and muscle function have not been found to play a role in the etiology of statin-related myopathy.

Clinical Utility

Direct evidence for clinical utility in this setting would come from studies demonstrating that using the *SLCO1B1* genotype to inform statin therapy (statin dose or choice of specific drug) has positive outcomes in terms of lower rates of myopathy with adequate lipid control and tolerability of alternative treatments. Indirect evidence includes the predicted number of patients who avoid statin myopathy as a result of genetic testing. This number is uncertain because there are a number of actions that can be taken as a result of genetic testing. Statins can be stopped or not started, a lower dose can be used, and other risk factors can be avoided, such as use of amiodarone. Despite the uncertainty in the precise number of events avoided, the number will necessarily be low because of the low underlying rate of serious events.

The Integrating Pharmacogenetics in Clinical Care (I-PICC) Study, conducted by Vassy (2020), assessed the effect of *SLCO1B1* testing in statin-naïve patients eligible for statin therapy due to cardiovascular disease risk factors.^[31] The study was conducted at eight Veterans Affairs primary care facilities. Participants were randomized to either immediate *SLCO1B1* testing or delayed testing after 12 months. In the immediate testing group, *SLCO1B1* test results were delivered to treating physicians via the patient's electronic health record, but it was left to the discretion of the physician regarding when (or if) test results were communicated to the patient. Ultimately, only 15.5% of physicians documented communicating *SLCO1B1* test results to patients. The primary outcome of the study was change from baseline in LDL-C after 12 months follow-up. Physician assessed statin-associated muscle symptoms were a secondary outcome. After 12 months, there was less LDL lowering in the immediate group than the delayed group (between-group difference -1.1 mg/dL, 90% CI -4.1 to 1.8). This mean difference between groups was within the prespecified noninferiority margin of 10 mg/dL, indicating that *SLCO1B1* testing did not cause harm to patients in this study, nor did it provide benefit. There was no difference between groups in physician-reported statin-associated muscle symptoms (1% vs. 1.4%; $p > .99$). This study was limited by the low uptake of statin prescriptions in statin-eligible patients in both the immediate and delayed groups (40% and 34.8%, respectively).

Vassy (2018) conducted a systematic review of *SLCO1B1* testing on patient and healthcare outcomes^[32]. They identified 5 pilot studies and an RCT by Voora (2017) that studied the delivery of *SLCO1B1* results on patient outcomes. Voora recruited patients who had discontinued statin therapy due to suspected side effects (73% reported myalgia and 25% of patients were *5 carriers). Patients were randomized to either immediate or delayed results of *SLCO1B1* testing, stratified based on *SLCO1B1* *5 genotype (carriers vs noncarriers) and clinic site. The primary outcome was adherence as assessed by the Morisky Medication Adherence Scale. Secondary outcomes included low density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol, brief pain inventory and SF-12. Voora reported a significant difference between groups in LDL at 3 months, but not in other outcome measures.

Several institutions have implemented electronic medical record–based clinical decision support systems to guide statin dosing and follow-up for patients started on a statin using a patients' *SLCO1B1* status.^[13, 33-35] However, it should be noted that all studies seeking to demonstrate that such support systems are associated with improved clinical outcomes have been found to be lacking.

When statin use is reduced or eliminated, the reduction in statin myopathy needs to be weighed against the increased cardiovascular events that may occur as a result of this change. In patients with a moderate-to-high risk of cardiovascular events, the probability of myocardial infarction over a 10-year period may be in the range of 10% to 20%. This event rate is substantially higher than the probability of serious myositis and rhabdomyolysis. As a result, if statin drugs are avoided because of genetic testing, the number of myocardial infarctions that will result may exceed the number of myopathy episodes avoided, and net harm may result. Because there are no alternative agents that reduce the rate of cardiovascular events to the extent statins do, it may not be possible to ameliorate this net harm by changing to an alternative lipid-lowering strategy.

Section Summary: Clinical Utility

The available evidence is insufficient to demonstrate that the clinical use of *SLCO1B1*

genotyping is associated with subsequent changes in patient management and/or improved outcomes, or with increased adherence to statin therapy.

Summary of Evidence

For individuals who are taking statin drugs who receive genetic testing for *SLCO1B1* variants, the evidence includes secondary analyses of randomized controlled trials and prospective observational studies. Relevant outcomes are test accuracy and validity, morbid events, and hospitalizations. No published information was found on the analytic validity of the marketed tests for detecting genetic variants associated with statin-induced myopathy. The available evidence from genome-wide association studies has suggested that *SLCO1B1* variants are associated with risk of statin-associated myopathy. Observational studies and randomized controlled trials have been mixed in demonstrating an association between *SLCO1B1* variants and statin-associated myopathy. No studies identified reported direct evidence on the clinical utility of genetic testing for statin myopathy. Statins are associated with a definitive decreased risk of cardiovascular events such as myocardial infarction, and this benefit of reduced cardiovascular events is likely to far outweigh the risk of myopathy—even in individuals with the highest risk of myopathy (ie, those with two abnormal *SLCO1B1* alleles). Therefore, there is a possibility of harm if the results of a positive test for statin-induced myopathy are used as part of the decision-making process for prescribing statins. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

PRACTICE GUIDELINE SUMMARY

In 2012, the Clinical Pharmacogenetics and Pharmacogenomics Implementation Consortium issued guidelines for *SLCO1B1* genotypes and simvastatin-induced myopathy, which were updated in 2014, and again in 2022.^[15] The 2022 guideline update reorganized genotype-phenotype categories and expanded upon recommendations for statin selection and dosing recommendations according to phenotype, statin intensity according to 2018 American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association guidelines, and strength of supportive data.

SUMMARY

HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors, or statins, which are widely used to treat hypercholesterolemia, can cause muscle-related adverse events. Serious myopathy (ie, myositis, rhabdomyolysis) can also occur and may be associated with variants in the *SLCO1B1* gene. Commercially available tests for the presence of *SLCO1B1* variants are marketed for use in predicting the risk of myopathy for patients taking statins.

There is not enough evidence to show that genetic testing for *SLCO1B1* is effective in identifying patients at-risk for statin-induced myopathy. No clinical guidelines based on research recommend the use of *SLCO1B1* genetic testing for identifying patients at-risk for statin-induced myopathy. Therefore, genetic testing for *SLCO1B1* variants to identify patients at-risk for statin-induced myopathy is considered not medically necessary.

REFERENCES

1. Vladutiu GD. Genetic predisposition to statin myopathy. *Current opinion in rheumatology*. 2008;20(6):648-55. PMID: 18946323
2. Maggo SD, Kennedy MA, Clark DW. Clinical implications of pharmacogenetic variation on the effects of statins. *Drug safety : an international journal of medical toxicology and drug experience*. 2011;34(1):1-19. PMID: 21142270
3. Arnold SV, Spertus JA, Tang F, et al. Statin use in outpatients with obstructive coronary artery disease. *Circulation*. 2011;124(22):2405-10. PMID: 22064595
4. Virani SS, Woodard LD, Chitwood SS, et al. Frequency and correlates of treatment intensification for elevated cholesterol levels in patients with cardiovascular disease. *American heart journal*. 2011;162(4):725-32.e1. PMID: 21982666
5. Aggarwal R, Bhatt DL, Rodriguez F, et al. Trends in Lipid Concentrations and Lipid Control Among US Adults, 2007-2018. *Jama*. 2022;328(8):737-45. PMID: 35997731
6. Dorsch MP, Lester CA, Ding Y, et al. Effects of Race on Statin Prescribing for Primary Prevention With High Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease Risk in a Large Healthcare System. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2019;8(22):e014709. PMID: 31707943
7. Guadamuz JS, Durazo-Arvizu RA, Daviglius ML, et al. Immigration Status and Disparities in the Treatment of Cardiovascular Disease Risk Factors in the Hispanic Community Health Study/Study of Latinos (Visit 2, 2014-2017). *Am J Public Health*. 2020;110(9):1397-404. PMID: 32673107
8. He J, Zhu Z, Bundy JD, et al. Trends in Cardiovascular Risk Factors in US Adults by Race and Ethnicity and Socioeconomic Status, 1999-2018. *Jama*. 2021;326(13):1286-98. PMID: 34609450
9. Pasternak RC, Smith SC, Jr., Bairey-Merz CN, et al. ACC/AHA/NHLBI Clinical Advisory on the Use and Safety of Statins. *Circulation*. 2002;106(8):1024-8. PMID: 12186811
10. Search Collaborative Group, Link E, Parish S, et al. SLCO1B1 variants and statin-induced myopathy--a genomewide study. *The New England journal of medicine*. 2008;359(8):789-99. PMID: 18650507
11. McKenney JM, Davidson MH, Jacobson TA, et al. Final conclusions and recommendations of the National Lipid Association Statin Safety Assessment Task Force. *The American journal of cardiology*. 2006;97(8A):89C-94C. PMID: 16581336
12. Law M, Rudnicka AR. Statin safety: a systematic review. *The American journal of cardiology*. 2006;97(8A):52C-60C. PMID: 16581329
13. Wilke RA, Ramsey LB, Johnson SG, et al. The clinical pharmacogenomics implementation consortium: CPIC guideline for SLCO1B1 and simvastatin-induced myopathy. *Clinical pharmacology and therapeutics*. 2012;92(1):112-7. PMID: 22617227
14. Schech S, Graham D, Staffa J, et al. Risk factors for statin-associated rhabdomyolysis. *Pharmacoepidemiology and drug safety*. 2007;16(3):352-8. PMID: 16892458
15. Cooper-DeHoff RM, Niemi M, Ramsey LB, et al. The Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium Guideline for SLCO1B1, ABCG2, and CYP2C9 genotypes and Statin-Associated Musculoskeletal Symptoms. *Clinical pharmacology and therapeutics*. 2022;111(5):1007-21. PMID: 35152405
16. Luzum JA, Kitzmiller JP, Isackson PJ, et al. GATM polymorphism associated with the risk for statin-induced myopathy does not replicate in case-control analysis of 715 dyslipidemic individuals. *Cell metabolism*. 2015;21(4):622-7. PMID: 25863251
17. Corp. BHD. Boston Heart Exclusive Tests. [cited 1/18/24]. 'Available from:' <https://bostonheartdiagnostics.com/test/boston-heart-statin-induced-myopathy-slco1b1-genotype-test/>.

18. den Dunnen JT, Dalgleish R, Maglott DR, et al. HGVS Recommendations for the Description of Sequence Variants: 2016 Update. *Human mutation*. 2016;37(6):564-9. PMID: 26931183
19. ARUP Laboratories. Statin Sensitivity SLCO1B1, 1 Variant. [cited 1/18/2024]. 'Available from:' <https://ltd.aruplab.com/Tests/Pub/2008426>.
20. Voora D, Shah SH, Spasojevic I, et al. The SLCO1B1*5 genetic variant is associated with statin-induced side effects. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 2009;54(17):1609-16. PMID: 19833260
21. Brunham LR, Lansberg PJ, Zhang L, et al. Differential effect of the rs4149056 variant in SLCO1B1 on myopathy associated with simvastatin and atorvastatin. *The pharmacogenomics journal*. 2012;12(3):233-7. PMID: 21243006
22. Carr DF, O'Meara H, Jorgensen AL, et al. SLCO1B1 genetic variant associated with statin-induced myopathy: a proof-of-concept study using the clinical practice research datalink. *Clinical pharmacology and therapeutics*. 2013;94(6):695-701. PMID: 23942138
23. Danik JS, Chasman DI, MacFadyen JG, et al. Lack of association between SLCO1B1 polymorphisms and clinical myalgia following rosuvastatin therapy. *American heart journal*. 2013;165(6):1008-14. PMID: 23708174
24. de Keyser CE, Peters BJ, Becker ML, et al. The SLCO1B1 c.521T>C polymorphism is associated with dose decrease or switching during statin therapy in the Rotterdam Study. *Pharmacogenetics and genomics*. 2014;24(1):43-51. PMID: 24263182
25. Ferrari M, Guasti L, Maresca A, et al. Association between statin-induced creatine kinase elevation and genetic polymorphisms in SLCO1B1, ABCB1 and ABCG2. *European journal of clinical pharmacology*. 2014;70(5):539-47. PMID: 24595600
26. Canestaro WJ, Austin MA, Thummel KE. Genetic factors affecting statin concentrations and subsequent myopathy: a HuGENet systematic review. *Genetics in medicine : official journal of the American College of Medical Genetics*. 2014;16(11):810-9. PMID: 24810685
27. Hou Q, Li S, Li L, et al. Association between SLCO1B1 gene T521C Polymorphism and statin-related myopathy risk: a meta-analysis of case-control studies. *Medicine*. 2015;94(37):e1268. PMID: 26376374
28. Elam MB, Majumdar G, Mozhui K, et al. Patients experiencing statin-induced myalgia exhibit a unique program of skeletal muscle gene expression following statin re-challenge. *PloS one*. 2017;12(8):e0181308. PMID: 28771594
29. Sai K, Kajinami K, Akao H, et al. A possible role for HLA-DRB1*04:06 in statin-related myopathy in Japanese patients. *Drug metabolism and pharmacokinetics*. 2016;31(6):467-70. PMID: 27839692
30. Stranecky V, Neroldova M, Hodanova K, et al. Large copy-number variations in patients with statin-associated myopathy affecting statin myopathy-related loci. *Physiological research*. 2016;65(6):1005-11. PMID: 27539103
31. Vassy JL, Gaziano JM, Green RC, et al. Effect of Pharmacogenetic Testing for Statin Myopathy Risk vs Usual Care on Blood Cholesterol: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2020;3(12):e2027092. PMID: 33270123
32. Vassy JL, Chun S, Advani S, et al. Impact of SLCO1B1 Pharmacogenetic Testing on Patient and Healthcare Outcomes: A Systematic Review. *Clinical pharmacology and therapeutics*. 2018. PMID: 30137643
33. Hoffman JM, Haidar CE, Wilkinson MR, et al. PG4KDS: A model for the clinical implementation of pre-emptive pharmacogenetics. *American journal of medical genetics Part C, Seminars in medical genetics*. 2014;166(1):45-55. PMID: 24619595

34. Tomcsanyi KM, Tran KA, Bates J, et al. Veterans Health Administration: Implementation of pharmacogenomic clinical decision support with statin medications and the SLCO1B1 gene as an exemplar. *Am J Health Syst Pharm.* 2023;80(16):1082-89. PMID: 37210707
35. Massmann A, Van Heukelom J, Green RC, et al. SLCO1B1 gene-based clinical decision support reduces statin-associated muscle symptoms risk with simvastatin. *Pharmacogenomics.* 2023;24(7):399-409. PMID: 37232094

CODES

Codes	Number	Description
CPT	81328	SLCO1B1 (solute carrier organic anion transporter family, member 1B1) (eg, adverse drug reaction), gene analysis, common variant(s) (eg, *5)
HCPCS	None	

Date of Origin: January 2018